

No. ID/AMB/95/82/48716.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Sat Pal and the management of the Haryana Roadways, Kailali regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sat Pal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/121/82/48722.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Hirdaya Nand and the management of M/s Emgee Board and Paper Mills, Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 107-108, Sector 25, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Hirdaya Nand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/121/82/48729.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rajesh Chand and the management of M/s Emgee Board and Paper Mills Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 107-108, Sector 25, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rajesh Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/121/82/48736.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Amar Singh and the management of M/s Emgee Board and Paper Mills Pvt. Ltd. Plot No. 107-198, Sector 25, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Amar Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/121/82/48743.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Harish Chand and the management of M/s Emgee Board and Paper Mills Private Limited, Plot No. 107-108, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal,

Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter (s) specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Harish Chand was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/121/82/48764.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sudama Parshad and the management of M/s Emgee Board and Paper Mills Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 107-108, Sector 25, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for the adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sudama Parshad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/121/82/48771.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Chhote Lal and the management of M/s Emgee Board and Paper Mills, Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 107-108, Sector 25, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Chhote Lal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/121/82/48778.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Anirudh and the management of M/s. Emgec Board and Paper Mills Pvt. Ltd. Plot No. 107-108, Sector 25, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication. :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Anirudh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/121/82/48785.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sher Singh and the management of M/s. Emgee Board and Paper Mills Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 107-108, Sector 25, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sher Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 29th October, 1982.

No. ID/AMB/113/82/49430.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Lachhu Ram and the management of the Haryana Roadways, Kalthal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated the 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated the 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Lachhu Ram was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/AMB/10/82/49436.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sat Pal and the management of M/s Haryana Ware-Housing Corporation Chondigarh regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab./57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with Notification No. 5414-3 Lab.-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sat Pal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/145/82/49442.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Hira Lal and the management of M/s Laldee (Pvt.) Ltd., Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Hira Lal was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/149/82/49449.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Danial M. Lall and the management of M/s Sheet Fed Offset Works 22, Mathura Road, Ballabgarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Danial M. Lall, was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/KNL/77/82/49462.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Karan and the management of M/s The Executive Engineer, System Improvement Construction Division, Haryana State Electricity Board, Karnal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Karan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/KNL/77/82/49468.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sube Singh and the management of the Executive Engineer, System Improvement Construction Division, Haryana State Electricity Board, Karnal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sube Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/KNL/77/82/49474.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mahavir Singh and the management of the Executive Engineer System Improvement Construction Division, Haryana State Electricity Board, Karnal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (i) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Mahavir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/KNL/77/82/49480.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Kishan and the management of M/s The Executive Engineer System Improvement Construction Division Haryana, State Electricity Board, Karnal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Krishan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 3rd November, 1982

No. ID/FD/148/82/49620.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri M. C. Sagar and the management of the M/s Frick India Ltd. 13/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15264, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matters in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri M. C. Sagar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/144/82/49627.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Amarjeet and the management of the M/s Mac Metal Works Plot No. 2 Gurkul Sarai, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Amarjeet was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/146/82/49634.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Umesh Chander Chaudhry and the management of the M/s Trans Auto, 1—5 D.L.F. Industrial Area Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And Whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated 7th February, 1958 read with Notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act the, matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

whether the termination of services of Shri Umesh Chander Chaudhry was justified and in order? If not, what to relief is he entitled

The 4th November, 1982

No. ID/GGN/81/82/49865.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Chander Hans Singh and the management of the M/s Kamal Parkash Iron and Steel Company Plot No. 88-89 Mehroli Road, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:

Whether the termination of service of Shri Chander Hans Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?